

20-31 JAN 2022





www.mesmac.in



MES MAMPAD COLLEGE (Autonomous) Mampad College P.O., Malappuram Dist., Kerala, India - 676 542. info@mesmampad.org | www.mesmampadcollege.edu.in



in association with Kerala State Higher Education Council

MESMAC INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES

Sustainability: **Power, People, Politics**

AN ANTHOLOGY OF RESEARCH PAPERS IN LIFE SCIENCES

Chief Editor

Dr.Manzur Ali.PP Principal MES Mampad College, (Autonomous) Malappuram

Associate Editor

Dr. Sreekala L.K Assistant Professor Department of Zoology, MES Mampad College(Autonomous), Malappuram

Publication Division:



(ESTED 1965)

Mampad College P.O. 676542, Malappuram Dt., Kerala E-mail: info@mesmampad.org

Sustainability: Power, People, Politics

An Anthology of Research Papers Life Sciences

Chief Editor		
Dr.Manzur Ali.PP	:	Principal, MES Mampad College,
		Malappuram
Associate Editor		
Dr. Sreekala L.K	:	Assistant Professor, Department of
		Zoology,MES Mampad College,
		Malappuram
Department Editors		
Dr. Sheeba M	:	Head of Zoology, NSS College, Manjeri,
		Malappuram
Dr. Umadevi D	:	Assistant Professor, Department of
		Biochemistry, Sree Krishna College,
		Guruvayur, Thrissur.
Dr. Anoop Das K.S	:	Head of Zoology, MES Mampad College,
		Malappuram
Dr. Muhammed Abdu	l Rafeeq F	X.U: Assistant Professor, Department of
		Zoology, MES Mampad College,
		Malappuram
Dr. Remia K.M	:	Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology,
		MES Mampad College, Malappuram
Shamiyath A	:	Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology,
		MES Mampad College, Malappuram
		· · · · ·

Sixth Edition: January 2022

© Publication Division DGM MES Mampad College ,Mampad 676 542

Lay out:

Shahin OP

All rights Reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying or recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

ISBN 978-93-5635-958-1

Sustainability: Power People Politics

5

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Chief Patrons

Dr. PA Fazal Ghafoor

President, MES Kerala & Chairman, Governing Council of the College

Prof. Kadavanad Muhammed

General Secretary, MES Kerala

Sri. KA Hashim

Corporate Manager, MES Kerala

Sri. E P Moinkutty

Senior Vice President, MES Kerala

Sri. A Moideen Kutty

President, College Management Committee

President

Prof. O P Abdurahiman Secretary, Management Committee

Conference Chair

Dr. Manzur Ali PP Principal, MES Mampad College, (Autonomous)

Advisors

Dr. Anas E Vice Principal, MES Mampad College, (Autonomous) **Dr. Sabique M K** Coordinator, IQAC

Conference Coordinators

Abdul Vahid K Head and Assistant Professor, Department of Islamic History **Shameer Moozhiyan** Assistant Professor, Department of Economics Muhammed Salim A P Assistant Professor, Department of Economics Soumya S Assistant Professor, Department of Physics Dr. KUMAbdul Rafeeque Assistant Professor, Department of Zoology Dr. Shahida A T Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics Linshad Assistant Professor, Department of Physics Sulfi P Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce

INDEX				
1	<i>Alfa sherin, Aysha pm & Fidakareem:</i> A FIELD STUDY ON AVIAN FAUNA AT KAYYENI,PALAKKAD DISTRICT KERALA			
2	<i>Ansiya. A and Beena S. John :</i> INFLUENCE OF HANDWASHING LIQUIDS ON EARTHWORMS	32-39		
3	<i>Arusha K. and Prasadan P.K.</i> : MEGALUROUS CERCARIAE INFECTING THIARID SNAILS IN THE WESTERN GHATS WAYANAD REGION	40-50		
4	Ashira C P and Sheeba V A : STUDY ON THE ROLE OF WOMEN IN HOUSEHOLD ENERGY CONSERVATION OF NIRAMARUTHUR PANCHAYAT AND TIRUR MUNICIPALITY, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, KERALA.	51-58		
5	Asna.V : ISOLATION AND ACTIVITY STUDY OF BIOSURFACTANT PRODUCING BACTERIA AGAINST FUNGAL PATHOGEN (CANDIDA SP.)	59-72		
6	Aswathi P and Jainy Varghese : PEOPLE AWARENESS AND PERCEPTIONS ON SUSTAINABLE HOUSEHOLD WASTE MANAGEMENT:A STUDY IN PULIKKAL PANCHAYAT, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, KERALA			
7	Aysha Rahna T, Ayana. R And Hasna . M :A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE DEGRADATION RATE OF BIODEGRADABLE PLASTIC AND LOW DENSITY POLYETHYLENE BY USING EARTHWORM	85-90		
8	C. Anisha Jameela. T.A, and Greeshma. P.K : POTENTIAL OF BIODEGRADABLE FIBRE	91-98		
9	<i>Deepthi G. Nair, Nisha P. Aravind</i> : PREDATORY ACTIVITY OF PLATYNECTES SP. AND TOXORHYNCHITES SPLENDENS ON AEDES ALBOPICTUS LARVAE BREEDING IN RUBBER PLANTATIONS OF KERALA	99-104		
10	<i>Deepthi G. Nair, Nisha P. Aravind</i> : PREDATORY ACTIVITY OF PLATYNECTES SP. AND TOXORHYNCHITES SPLENDENS ON AEDES ALBOPICTUS LARVAE BREEDING IN RUBBER PLANTATIONS OF KERALA	105-120		
11	<i>Divyasree K P, Dr. Rohith Kumar N, Dr. Sahaya Shibu B</i> : ISOLATION, SCREENING AND CHARACTERIZATION OF AMYLASE PRODUCING BACTERIA FROM SOIL	121-133		
12	<i>Umadevi. D, K.U.M.A. Rafeeq</i> : TARGETING MATRIX METALLOPROTEINASES IN TUMOR PROGRESSION: FOCUS ON HUMAN COLONIC ADENOCARCINOMA	134-137		
13	<i>V.V.Hasmitha</i> : EVALUATION OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN OF BIRD NEST IN THE SUBTROPICALCOUNTRYSIDEHABITAT OF MALAPPURAM DISTRICT	138-146		
14	Hiba Sherin.P: POSTPARTUM DEPRESSION IN DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS	147-151		
15	<i>Jithila P J and Prasadan P K :</i> . DIGENETIC TREMATODE METACERCARIAE OF THE SPIKE TAIL PARADISE FISH PSEUDOSPHROMENUS CUPANUS (CUVIER, 1831) FROM WAYANAD REGION OF THE WESTERN GHATS	152-159		
16	<i>S G Gopika Unni, K M Remia</i> :PERCEPTIONS OF ANTHROPOGENIC DISTURBANCES INTERFERING WITH THE ICHTHYOFAUNAL DIVERSITY OF RIVER BHARATHAPUZHA- A CASE STUDY	160-169		
17	<i>M. Nandhini, Sandra Shaji, and Amritha Sivani</i> :ECO-FRIENDLY CHRYSANTHEMUM DYE AS NATURAL COLOURANT FOR FABRIC	170-173		
18	Ms Nadha P, Dr. Servin P. Wesley : PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND FTIR SPECTROSCOPIC ANALYSIS OF SIMAROUBA GLAUCA DC	174-186		

19	<i>Mubasmila V.P, Sheeba V. A, Jainy Varghese</i> :SUSTAINABLE USE OF DISPOSABLE DIAPER (SAP) IN AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES – A STUDY BASED ON Capsicum annum PLANT		
20	R. Aparnna, R.S. Shahana, and U.K. Gayathri :STUDY ON THE DIVERSITY OF BUTTERFLIES (RHOPALOCERA) IN CHETTIKALAM VILLAGE, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, KERALA		
21	<i>Reem Nashmi :</i> EVALUATING THE DIVERSITY OF BIRDS IN BACKYARD	214-217	
22	<i>Rijin K, Drisya O K, Nikhila Reshmi M V, Sudha Kappalli</i> :DISTRIBUTION OF PSEUDOCYCNID COPEPODS ALONG COCHIN AND MALABAR COAST: A COMPARATIVE STUDY	218-225	
23	<i>Riswana Thasni V</i> :MIXED HUNTING FLOCKS OF BIRDS AND THEIR FORAGING ACTIVITY		
24	<i>Rukiya sanam c, Ms. Akhila P K,</i> :EVALUATION OF ACTIVITIES OF HIBISCUS ROSA- SINENSIS WITH SPECIAL EMPHASIS TO ITS PHYTOCHEMICAL POTENTIAL	231-244	
25	Saliha kv, Dr. Siljo Abraham, Dr. Cissin Jose : DEVELOPMENT OF PCR BASED METHODS FOR THE DETECTION OF ESCHERICHIA COLI IN DRINKING WATER SOURCES	245-253	
26	Sameena K P, Bsc. Zoology :STUDIES ON SOIL FAUNA OF SNGS COLLEGE CAMPUS	254-259	
27	Samyuktha M, Dr Sahaya Shibu : DIRECT PLANT REGENERATION FROM ENCAPSULATED NODAL SEGMENTS OF POGOSTEMON QUADRIFOLIUS (BENTH.)F. MUELL	260-267	
28	<i>K.Sana</i> :THE REDUCTION OF OTHER EPIDEMICDISEASES DURINGPANDEMIC COVID 19	268-271	
29	<i>Shafiq Ahamed P P</i> : SOCIETY'S BEHAVIOR TOWARDS SUSTAINABILITY; UNDERSTANDING SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY AS REMEDIAL METHODOLOGY	272-284	
30	<i>Shamna A.K. and Sumodan P.K</i> :ANOPHELES SUBPICTUS (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE) BREEDING IN BRACKISH WATERS IN KERALA AND IMPLICATIONS FOR MALARIA CONTROL	285-293	
31	<i>Shibina A S,Arya S,Dr. Adhira M Nayar</i> :DIVERSITY OF COLLEMBOLAN IN DIFFERENT AGRO-ECOSYSTEMS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, KERALA	294-303	
32	<i>Sreya R Nambiar, Dr. Dhanya R</i> :A SOCIO- ECONOMIC STUDY OF MANGROVES FOREST OF, EZHOME, KANNUR DIST., KERALA	304-318	
33	<i>Sruti C, Mrs. Saranya K S, Sahaya Shibu B</i> :A STUDY ON DIFFERENT POTENTIAL USES OF BANANA PEELS AND ITS APPLICATIONS	319-327	
34	<i>Vipinya C , Sumodan P K</i> : HABITAT PREFERENCE AND DIFFERENTIAL DISTRIBUTION OF ANOPHELES STEPHENSI (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE) IN NORTH MALABAR REGION AND THEIR POTENTIAL ROLE IN MALARIA TRANSMISSION	328-332	
35	<i>Shamnu Luqman</i> : CHECKLIST OF AVIAN FAUNA IN FAROOK COLLEGECAMPUS, KOZHIKODE	333-338	

6. PEOPLE AWARENESS AND PERCEPTIONS ON SUSTAINABLE HOUSEHOLD WASTE MANAGEMENT: A STUDY IN PULIKKAL PANCHAYAT, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, KERALA

Aswathi P*. and Jainy Varghese**

*MSc Environmental Studies, School of Environmental Studies, Thunchath Ezhuthachan Malayalam University, Vakkad P.O., Tirur, Malppuram-676562, Email: <u>aswathip744@gmail.com</u>, 9072506944

**Assistant Professor, School of Environmental Studies, Thunchath Ezhuthachan Malayalam University, Vakkad P.O., Tirur, Malppuram-676562, Email: jainy.env@gmail.com, 9447799859

ABSTRACT

The present study was conducted to understand the awareness and perceptions of people on sustainable household waste management in Pulikkal panchayat in Malappuram district. The detailed survey was conducted during the month of July 2021 among the randomly selected households in the 14th ward(Pandiyatupuram) of Pulikkal panchayat with a self prepared questionnaire. The results showed that the socio-economic status of the households was generally not much better. 90% of people do not know about the amount of waste generated. The survey observed 85% of people unaware about sustainable waste treatment methods. About 80% of households generate close to 500 grams of non biodegradable waste per week. It was found that plastic waste is the most problematic one among the non-biodegradable wastes. The survey found that 82% of households dispose their solid wastes including plastic wastes by burning. The study observed that service of Harithakarmasena not available in the ward. About 78% of people unaware about the proper disposal of gloves, masks, sanitizer bottles and medicine covers used in Covid pandemic period. Therefore the present study suggests implementing awareness programme on waste management at ward and Panchayat level and also to strengthen the Harithakarmasena activities in the study area.

Keywords: Household waste, Solid waste, Awareness, Perception, Waste management

INTRODUCTION

Most developing countries in Asia are facing a crisis in the management and control of household waste. This global problem is adversely affecting human health and the environment in which they live (Pandey et al, 2018). Sustainable management of household waste has also become a major issue in the current scenario. Legislation and policy plans need to be implemented in relation to household waste management (Choe & Fraser, 1999);



The nature of solid waste in developing countries like India is quite different from that in developed countries. This is due to food habits, culture, heritage and economic and social environment. (Madhu, 2001). All waste treatment technologies are being propagated as mere tools. The science behind this has not convinced the general public of the need. As a result, maintenance is not done properly and technology fails. Waste management can only be successful if maintenance actually becomes a habit (Raghunandan, 2018).

As the world moves into its urban future, the amount of municipal solid waste (MSW), one of the most important by-products of urban life, is growing faster than the rate of urbanization. At a population of 26 billion, the per capita waste was 0.64 Kg (0.8 billion tons per year). However, out of a population of 3 billion, an individual produces 1.2 Kg of waste per day (1.3 billion tons per year). It points to the potential for an urban area of 4.3 billion people by 2025 to generate 1.42 Kg of waste per day and 2.2 billion tons per year (Hoornweg, 2012).

Toxic gases emitted from pollutants, as well as improper waste treatment, contribute to air pollution. Transport problems, hydrocarbons and combustion are said to cause the amount of particulate matter in the air to exceed the limit. Sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide and ozone also affect the air. Odor is also emitted into the atmosphere through stagnant waste and improper combustion. The World Health Organization (WHO) has reported that such improper waste disposal and treatment in urban areas, where more than 80% of the population lives, is detrim ental to airquality (Sinha & Nag, 2011). Through hazardous wastes and the toxins or other radiation they produce, they can even lead to deadly diseases such as cancer. Metals such as mercury, cadmium, zinc and anic, mainly from industrial sources, and their impurities can cause health problems (Ahmade & Alam, 2013).

In India, the domestic and commercial sectors generate 13,000 tonnes (47.5 million tonnes per annum) of urban waste per day. The country's urban waste generation has increased 204 times in more than a decade since 2001. Urban waste contains 47% moisture. Its average caloric value is 1.151 calories per kilogram (Joshi & Ahammed, 2016). About 50% solid wastes are generated from households in Kerala.

In Kerala, biodegradable waste generates at an average of 400 grams of bio-waste per day per person and the non biodegradable waste is about 150g. Thus an average person generates 550 grams of waste per day. Thus, it can be estimated that two and a half kilograms of waste is generated in a house (Raghunandan, 2018). Most of the activities through human interventions are harmful to the environment such as groundwater pollution, soil pollution, air quality degradation and health impacts (Achuthan, 2013).

In order to overcome the major problems caused by waste, it is necessary to understand the amount of waste generated and their characteristics. Accordingly, waste should be managed properly and awareness should be created among the people. Studies in India and abroad (Acharya *et al*,2021; Pandey *et al*,2018; Andersson&Stage,2018; Xiao *et al*,2017; Chakrabarti *et al*,2009; Kaundal&Sharma,2007; Sdewart *et al*,2005) have shown that household waste treatment can be facilitated by understanding the quantities and characteristics of waste. Various studies on awareness and people perceptions on household waste management have done in Kerala (Kaidhery and Karunakaran, 2019; Savitha krushnen,2018; Ravi&Vishnudas,2017; Dhanalakshmi,2014; Licy *et al*,2013). Literature showed that no studies have been conducted on household waste management in and around Pulikkal Panchayat in Malappuram district. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to understand the type and characteristics of household waste, waste disposal and reuse methods. Besides, the study also focused to assess the people awareness, challenges and perceptions of household waste management especially in Covid Pandemic situation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

Pulikkal Panchayat in Malappuram district has an area of 28.7 sq.km, is situated in Kondotty Taluk with 21 wards. The boundary of Panchayat by Cheekodu, Muthuvallur Panchayt in the East; Cherukavu and Vazhayoor Panchayat in the west; Vazhakkadu Panchayat in the North; and Kondotty and Pallikkal Pacnhayat in the South. According to 2011census, the total population in Pulikkal Panchayat is 43628 with 21244 males and 22384 females. The area selected for the present study is the 14th ward (Pandiyatupuram) of the Pulikkal Panchayat with 607 households. The study area lies between longitude 75.9127° in the East and latitude 11.1889° in the North. The location map of the study area is given in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Location Map of Study area (source : <u>https://www.google.com/maps)</u>



METHODOLOGY

Both primary and secondary data were collected for the present study. For the collection of primary data, a detailed household survey was conducted in the study area in order to find out the socio-economic status, types, characteristics and quantities of household wastes, waste disposal methods, activities of Harithakarmasena, and awareness on sustainable waste management. The survey was conducted during the month of July 2021 among the randomly selected households (N=50) in the 14th ward (Pandiyatupuram) of Pulikkal Panchayat with self prepared questionnaire. The secondary data were collected from the Panchayat Vikasanarekha, journals and reports.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The data collected after the survey among the households in the 14th ward of Pulikkal panchayath were analysed using MS Excel 2010. The results obtained are described in detail in the following sections.

Socio-Economic status

The detailed results of socio-economic information includes education, employment, income and the total area of house of surveyed households are given in the Table 1.

The results of education status of surveyed population showed that 66% completed SSLC, 14% with below SSLC qualification, 10% with Plus Two qualification, 6% with degree qualification and 4% with higher professional qualification. It was observed that 42% of the members are involved in the daily wage jobs/coolies, 24% are NRIs, 16% are government employees, 10% are pensioners and 8% are merchants. From the survey it was found that 54% of households are with annual income less than Rs.10000; 24% with annual income between Rs. 10,000 and 50,000; and 12% with annual income greater than Rs.50,000. The survey observed that 24% of households possessed land area above 20 cents, 20% possessed between 15 cents and 20 cents, 16% possessed between 10 cents and 15 cents, 28% possessed between 5 cents and 10 cents and 12% possessed less than 5 cents.

Based on the socio-economic data, the standard of education is generally lagging behind, majority of them are SSLC qualification. The data shows 42% of the people in the study area are daily wage workers. Therefore the study showed that majority of the people in the study area is socially and economically backward.

No	Socio – Economic Information		Percentage (%)
1		S.S.L.C	66
		Below S.S.L.C	14
		Plus Two	10
	Education	Degree	6
		Professional	4
		Total	100
2		Mercenaries	42
		NRI	24
		Govt. Employees	16
		Pensioners	10
	Employment	Merchants	8
		Total	100
		Below 10,000	64
3		Between 10,000 and 50,000	24
	Income	Above 50,000	12
		Total	100
		Upto 5 cent	12
4		5 to 10 cent	28
		10 to 15 cent	16
		15 to 20 cent	20
	House and backyards	Above 20 cent	24
		Total	100

Table 1. Socio-economic details of households

Types and Characteristics of wastes

From the survey, majority of the people opined that biodegradable, non biodegradable and hazardous wastes are commonly generated in the households. Of which, 90% of households generated in large quantities of food wastes and plastic wastes. Wastes such as glass, paper, hospital waste, and metal waste were found to be produced in very small quantities. However, the survey found that e-waste is scarce, electronic waste is not taken care of, and it is not treated as well as other wastes.

Quantity of waste

From the survey, it was found that no one has any idea about the daily production of waste. From the households surveyed, the response was that the daily figures were not taken into account. The survey revealed that no one knows the amount of biodegradable

77



waste. About 80 percent of households generate close to 500 grams of non biodegradable waste per week. About 14% of households generate less than 1 kilogram, 4% of households produce less than 500 grams and 2% of households produce less than 1.5 kilograms of waste. The survey found that biodegradable waste is the largest source of household waste. 64% of households have high levels of biodegradable waste and 28% of households have high levels of biodegradable waste and 28% of the other pollutants are both equivalent (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Quantity of waste generation (in percent)

It was found that plastic waste is the most problematic one among the nonbiodegradable wastes. Out of the 50 households surveyed, 49 households were found to have high levels of plastic cover and only 1 household was found to be better recycling plastic covers. The study found that more plastic bags are being produced out of control than plastic bottles, and 98 % of households do not even try to recycle them. Barr et al. (2001) conducted a study in England, and suggests that recycling waste is the best way to reduce its volume. The study also found that household recyclers were more likely to reuse waste than other households, thereby significantly reducing amount of waste.

The survey observed that among the hospital wastes generated in the households, 56% are masks, 30% medicine covers, 8% medicine bottles, 4% syringes and 2% are other hospital wastes (Figure 3). Majority of the surveyed population opined that due to the Covid situation, more masks were produced than medicine bottles and medicine covers. It was also found that people have lack of knowledge on how to disinfect and handle masks in the right way.

The study found that higher incomes and better employment conditions led to an increase in waste levels in the households compared to others. Similar findings reported by Pandey et al. (2017) conducted a study on domestic waste management in Bhopal City

and clearly pointed out that households with higher lifestyles and economic status generate more waste than other households with low income.



Figure 3. Types of hospital wastes (in percent)

Waste Disposal and Reuse

From the survey it was found that 82 per cent of households dispose their solid wastes including plastic wastes by burning, 16% of households dump their waste in pits and bins (Figure 4) in the premises of the households and the remaining 2% by throwing in the premises (Figure 5). Dumping of solid wastes in the premises of households leads to groundwater pollution, mosquito menace and causing water and vector borne diseases (Bhargava and Chatterjee, 2007).

Out of the 50 households surveyed only 16 % households (8 houses) are implementing waste disposal method at source level. Of which, 3 houses have biogas facility, 3 houses have pipe compost and 2 houses have composting facility. None of the households in the study area used vermicompost method for waste disposal.

The survey revealed 86% of households reuse plastic bottles for kitchen and other purposes. 8% of households reuse waste in effective manner such as cloth wastes and plastic cover were reused as floor mat. The remaining 6 % of households do not reuse waste properly.

The study also showed that 12 % households have difficulty in disposing the waste generated due to the lack of adequate backyard space.



Figure 4. Wastes disposed in cement bins



Figure 4. Waste Disposal methods (in percent)

Activities of Haritha Karmasena

Although there is a Haritha Karmasena in Pulikkal panchayath, 100 % surveyed population opined that the activities of the Haritha Karmasena are not available in the study area. The survey revealed that the service of Haritha Karmasena is essential in the ward for the effective management of waste disposal. Besides, the people in the study area ensure providing full participation for Haritha Karmasena activities.

Awareness on Waste management

The survey found that 85% of the people in the ward were aware about waste management but were not properly disposed of due to lack of interest and technical assistance. From the survey, 50% respondents opined that more facilities and possibilities should be created by the Panchayath authorities for the effective management of biomedical wastes generated in the households in the study area.

Possibilities and Challenges of Household Waste Disposal in the Covid Pandemic situation

The survey revealed that the Covid period has adversely affected the household waste disposal system. But at the same time, it was found that there were people in the ward who took advantage of this situation. Data from 86% of households indicate a significant reduction in the amount of waste generated in the Covid situation. 10% said the amount of waste was high and 4% said there was no change in waste generation. The survey also found that the number of plastic bags that had been mass-produced had dropped dramatically. It was found that 78% of people do not know how to dispose of gloves, masks, sanitizer bottles and medicine covers used in Covid pandemic period, whereas 20 percent of households are aware of the potential for 'waste recycling' during the Covid period. Through social media and so on, it was found that people tried to understand the concept of recycling waste and put it into practice. Disposable plastic bottles and lids were found to be better used. However, 88 per cent of the respondents said that they are facing much difficulty to dispose the waste properly due to the Covid situation. The survey also found that improper treatment of waste can lead to foul odours and accumulation of such waste on the roadsides and nearby drinking water sources causing health problems.

CONCLUSION

The present study concludes that household waste disposal and management in the study area is linked to the socio-economic factors of the people, lack of adequate space, lack of awareness for proper waste disposal and lack of Harithakarmasena activities. Hence the Panchayat authorities should take appropriate measures for adopting sustainable waste management practises in study area.



REFERENCES

Achuthan, A.(2013). Paristhiti padanathin oramugham. Trissur: Kerala sastra sahitya parishad.

Alam, P., & Ahmade, K. (2013).Impact of solid waste on health and environment. *International Journal of sustainable development and green economics*, 2(1),165-168.

Andersson, C., & Stage, J. (2018). Direct and indirect effects of waste management policies On household waste behavior : The case of Sweden. *Waste management*, 76, 19-27.

Barr, S., Gilg, A. W., & Ford, N. J. (2001). A conceptual framework for understanding and Analysing attitudes towards household-waste management. *Environment and planning A*, 33(11),2025-2048.

Bhargava, A., & Chatterjee, B. (2007). Chikungunya fever, Falciparum malaria, Dengue fever, Japanese encephalitis... are we listening to the warning signs for public health in India? *Indian J. Med. Ethics*, 4 (1), 18-23.

Chakrabarti, S.,&Majumder, A.(2009).Public-community participation in household waste Management in India:An operational approach. *Habitat international*, 33(1),125-130.

Chand, S., Mateti, U. V., Shastry, C.S., Hiremath, S., Joel, J. J., & Krishnabhat, C. H. (2021).Updates on biomedical waste management during COVID-19 :The Indian scenario. *Clinical epidemiology and global health*, 11,100715.

Choe, C., & Fraser, I. (1999). An economics analysis of household waste management. *Journal Of environmental economics and management*, 38(2), 234-246.

Colon, M., &Fawcett, B. (2006).Community-based household waste management :Lessons Learnt from EXNORA's 'zero waste management 'scheme in two south Indian cities. *Habitat International*, 30(4),916-931.

Dhanalakshmi, T. (2014). Scope for eco-friendly household waste management in high density Housing: An empirical study of Kochi corporation area in Ernakulam district of Kerala. *International journal of business and administration reserach review*, 2(4),49-55.

Gilg, A. W., Barr, S., &Ford, N. J. (2005).Defining the multi-dimensional aspects of household Waste management :A study of reported behaviour in Devon. *Resource, conservation and Recycling*, 45(2),172-192.

Hoornweg, D. (2012). What a waste: a global review of solid waste management. *Washington, DC:Urban development &local government unit.*

Joshy, R., & Ahmed, S. (2016). Status and challenges of muncipal solid waste management in India: A review. *Cogent environmental science*, 2(1),1139434.

Kaithery, N. N.,&Karunakaran, U. (2019).Study on attitude of household waste management In a rural area of northern Kerala. *International journal of community medicine* and public Health, 6(5),2095-2102.

Kaundal, R., &Sharma, A. (2007). Problems of household waste disposal. *Journal of human Ecology*, 21(3),199-201.

Krushnen, S.(2018). Household solid waste management in kerala: Acase study. *Asian journal Of Research in social sciences and humanities*, 8(11),1-29.

Lee, S., & Palik, H. S. (2011). Korean household waste management and recycling behaviour. *Building and environment*, 46(5),1159-1166.

Licy, C. D., Vivek, R., Saritha, K., Anies, T. S., & Josphina, C. T. (2013). Awareness, attitude and Practice of school students towards household waste management. *Journal of environment*, 2(6), 147-150.

Madhu, G. (2012).Paristhithi sasthreeya veekshanam:Thiruvananthapuram:Kerala bhasha institute.

Nidoni, P. G. (2017). Incineration process for solid waste management and effective utilization Of byproducts. *International reserach journal of engineering and technology*, 12(4),378-382.

Pandey, R. U., Surjan, A., & Kapshe, M. (2018). Exploring linkages between sustainable Consumption and prevailing green practices in reuse and recycling of household waste: case Of Bhopal city in India. *Journal of cleaner production*, 173,49-59.

Raghunandanan, V.R. (2018). Malinya paripalanam sasthravum prayogavum. Trissur: Kerala sastra sahitya parishad.

Ravi, A., & Vishnudas, S. (2017). Policies and strategies for sustainable solid waste management in urban residential areas, A case study of Kochi city, Kerala, India. *International journal of Engineering and technology*, 9(3),1917-1924.

Sinha, S. N.,&Nag, P. K. (2011). Air pollution from solid fuels. *Encyclopedia of environmental Health*, 2(1),46-45.

Suther, S., &Singh, P. (2005). Household solid waste generation and composition in different Family size and socio-economic groups: A case study. *Sustainable cities and society*, 14,5663.

Xiao, L., Zhang, G., Zhu, Y., &Lin. T. (2017). Promoting public participation in household waste Management : A survey based method and case study in Xiamen city, *China.Journal of cleaner Production*, 144, 313-322.